

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 176002

12
ORIGIN L-03

INFO OCT-01 ARA-06 ISO-00 OES-06 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04

H-02 INR-07 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15

USIA-06 EB-07 COME-00 TRSE-00 DLOS-06 SAL-01 INT-05

CG-00 DOTE-00 EPA-01 /079 R

DRAFTED BY L:MBFELDMAN:LHS

APPROVED BY L:MBFELDMAN

OES/OFA/OCA - MR. SCULLY

ARA/MEX - MR. ZAMBITO

----- 031556

R 152343Z JUL 76

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY MEXICO

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 176002

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PLOS, EFIS, MX

SUBJECT: MEXICAN RESPONSE TO DIPLOMATIC NOTE RE: U.S.
POSITION ON MEXICAN 200 "ECONOMIC ZONE"

REFS: (A) MEXICO 8607; (B) STATE 125360

1. DEPARTMENT WILL WANT TO STUDY CAREFULLY TEXT OF GOM
NOTE BEFORE DECIDING WHETHER ANY COMMENT IS NECESSARY
CONCERNING THE BASIC LOS ISSUES ON WHICH MEXICO AND U.S.
DISAGREE. WE ARE CONCERNED, HOWEVER, AT APPARENT MEX
MISREADING OF U.S. POSITION ON BOUNDARY NEGOTIATION. FYI.
FOR EMBASSY'S BACKGROUND, OUR VIEW OF SITUATION IS THAT
U.S. AND MEXICO DELS INITIATED CONSULTATIONS CONCERNING
POSSIBLE MARITIME BOUNDARIES PRIOR TO ENACTMENT OF U.S.
200-MILE BILL. AS USG HAD NO LEGAL BASIS TO NEGOTIATE
BOUNDARIES AT THAT TIME, IT WAS CLEARLY UNDERSTOOD THAT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 176002

THIS MEETING CONSTITUTED CONSULTATIONS RATHER THAN-

NEGOTIATIONS." INASMUCH AS THE DISCUSSION REVEALED
FUNDAMENTAL ACCORD BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES ON THE APPROACH
TO BOUNDARY PROBLEM, IT WAS AGREED THAT TECHNICAL

DISCUSSIONS WOULD CONTINUE INFORMALLY TO ESTABLISH COMMON
DATA PENDING USG DECISION THAT IT WAS IN A POSITION TO
NEGOTIATE. TECHNICAL TEAMS DID AGREE ON JOINT
RECOMMENDATIONS TO GOVERNMENTS. WHEN, AFTER ENACTMENT
OF 200-MILE BILL, USG OBTAINED AUTHORITY TO NEGOTIATE,
DEPUTY LEGAL ADVISER FELDMAN ADVISED AMB. CASTANEDA BY
PHONE THAT USG WAS READY TO PROCEED WITH NEGOTIATION ON
THE BASIS DISCUSSED BY TECHNICAL TEAMS SUBJECT TO
CONGRESSIONAL CONSULTATIONS. AT THE SAME TIME, FELDMAN
TOLD CASTANEDA THAT U.S. WOULD SEND A NOTE PUTTING ON
RECORD ITS LEGAL CONCERNS WITH MEXICAN ECONOMIC ZONE IN
ADVANCE OF FORMAL BOUNDARY AND FISHERIES NEGOTIATIONS.
THUS, PURPOSE OF U.S. NOTE (REF. B) WAS TO MAKE U.S.
LEGAL POINTS A MATTER OF RECORD AND TO FORMALLY SIGNIFY
U.S. READINESS TO NEGOTIATE (1) A BOUNDARY TREATY AND (2)
PROVISIONAL ARRANGEMENTS PENDING CONCLUSION OF SUCH A
TREATY. EACH SIDE HAS INDICATED TO THE OTHER IT IS
PREPARING A DRAFT EXCHANGE OF NOTES FOR INTERIM BOUNDARY
ARRANGEMENTS BUT NEITHER SIDE HAS TAKEN THIS STEP.

2. WE HAVE NOT TAKEN FURTHER INITIATIVE TO ADVANCE
BOUNDARY TALKS PENDING CLARIFICATION OF U.S. AND GOM
POSITIONS ON FISHERIES ISSUES. CONGRESSIONAL CONSULTATIONS
ON THE BOUNDARY ISSUE HAVE GONE SMOOTHLY AND WE ARE
INTERESTED IN PURSUING THE BOUNDARY MATTER ON THE BASIS
DISCUSSED WITH GOM AT AN APPROPRIATE TIME. IN THE MEAN-
TIME, THE GOM HAS UNILATERALLY ESTABLISHED BOUNDARIES
FOR ITS ECONOMIC ZONE WHICH CONFORM GENERALLY, BUT NOT
PRECISELY, WITH U.S.-MEXICAN DISCUSSIONS. THIS ACTION
DOES NOT OBTAIN NEED FOR BOUNDARY TREATY AND THERE ARE
STRONG ADVANTAGES IN OBTAINING FORMAL MEXICAN COMMITMENT
TO INTERIM ARRANGEMENT, BUT WE ARE CONSIDERING DEFERRING
EXCHANGE OF NOTES ON BOUNDARY FOR A FEW MONTHS TO AVOID
POSSIBLE NEGATIVE IMPACTS ON OUR MARITIME BOUNDARY
NEGOTIATIONS WITH CANADA. HOWEVER, WE WILL WANT TO WATCH
MEXICAN ATTITUDES VERY CAREFULLY TO AVOID ANY SLIPPAGE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 176002

IN MEXICAN COMMITMENT TO THESE BOUNDARY LINES. WE ALSO
WISH TO AVOID ANY UNNECESSARY IRRITATION IN U.S.-MEXICAN
RELATIONS ARISING FROM SUSPICIONS CONCERNING U.S.
INTENTIONS RE BOUNDARIES. END FYI.

3. ACCORDINGLY, YOU SHOULD TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY OF
INFORMAL CONTACTS WITH APPROPRIATE MEXICAN OFFICIALS,
PARTICULARLY CASTANEDA AND ROZENTAL, TO ASSURE GOM

THAT U.S. IS SATISFIED WITH NEW YORK TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS ON THE BOUNDARY, AND THAT PURPOSE OF OUR NOTE WAS TO INDICATE READINESS TO OPEN FORMAL NEGOTIATIONS ON A BOUNDARY TREATY, AND INTERIM ARRANGEMENTS WHICH WOULD BE BASED ON THOSE DISCUSSIONS. WE NOTE THAT GOM HAS ACTED UNILATERALLY TO ESTABLISH THE LIMITS OF ITS 200-MILE ZONE BY DECREE WHICH CONTEMPLATES INTERNATIONAL NEGOTIATION CONCERNING ANY OVERLAP OF BOUNDARIES. (THE LINES ESTABLISHED BY THIS DECREE ARE SLIGHTLY AT VARIANCE AT CERTAIN POINTS WITH LINES DELINEATED IN NEW YORK.) THE U.S. IN DUE COURSE WILL ESTABLISH ITS OWN LINES BUT STILL BELIEVES BOUNDARY TREATY AND INTERIM ARRANGEMENTS WILL BE NECESSARY. WE WILL BE IN FURTHER TOUCH WITH THE GOM IN DUE COURSE CONCERNING TIMING AND PROCEDURES FOR HANDLING THE BOUNDARY.

4. WE EXPLAINED THE FOREGOING TO AMB. GONZALES DE LEON TODAY, HE SEEMED TO UNDERSTAND, AND HE LEFT US A MAP SHOWING THE LINES DRAWN BY MEXICO. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TERRITORIAL WATERS, TWO HUNDRED MILE LIMIT, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION, DIPLOMATIC NOTES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 15 JUL 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ElyME
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE176002
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: MBFELDMAN:LHS
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760274-0559
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760753/aaaaburp.tel
Line Count: 134
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN L
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 76 MEXICO 8607, 76 STATE 125360
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: ElyME
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 22 JUL 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <22 JUL 2004 by ellisoob>; APPROVED <29 OCT 2004 by ElyME>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MEXICAN RESPONSE TO DIPLOMATIC NOTE RE: U.S. POSITION ON MEXICAN 200 "ECONOMIC ZONE"
TAGS: PLOS, EFIS, MX, US
To: MEXICO
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006